



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/777,336	12/27/96	HOLMES	D 7-2

S H DWORETSKY  
AT&T CORPORATION  
P O BOX 4110  
MIDDLETOWN NJ 07748

LM41/0806

EXAMINER

GELIN, J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2744

9/13

DATE MAILED: 08/06/99

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**08/777,336**

Applicant(s)  
**David W.J. Holmes**

Examiner  
**Jean A. Gelin**

Group Art Unit  
**2744**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jean A. Gelin

(3) Paul T. Qualey

(2) Dwayne Bost

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 15, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 21

Identification of prior art discussed:

Gillig et al. teaches a cellular cordless telephone that can communicate over the air on two networks.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative was trying to persuade the Examiner to remove the outstanding Office Action, but the Examiner has disagreed with the Applicant's representative arguments. The Examiner suggests the Applicant to amend the claims in order to overcome the teachings of Gillig et al. Pat. No. 5,127,042, and Comroe et al. Pat. No. 5,313,654. The Examiner will fax Comroe's reference to the Applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.